THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.24355 OF 2005

-

Dt:16.11.2005

Between:

-

T.Satyanarayana

… Petitioners

and

A.P.Central Power Distribution Co. Ltd. and others

… Respondents

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.24355 OF 2005

-

-

ORDER:

-

The petitioner was initially appointed as a Sub-Engineer in the erstwhile A.P.S.E.B., in 1985. He was promoted as Assistant Section Officer in 1989. Through orders dated 30.03.1995, he was appointed on transfer as Additional Assistant Engineer (A.A.E.). Placing reliance upon B.P.Ms.No.27, dated 04.05.1995, the petitioner claims that he is entitled for the fixation of pay scale as indicated therein. The petitioner compares himself with the fourth respondent and alleges that he was not

extended the same benefit. He claims to have made a representation way back in the year 2000, with a prayer to remove the anomaly in the pay structure and alleges that no action has been taken thereon.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondents.

B.P.Ms.No.27, dated 04.05.1995, provided for adjustment of pay scales in respect of Assistant Executive Engineers appointed by transfer from lower categories. It also dealt with the pay scales of Assistant Executive Engineers appointed straight away. The question as to whether the petitioner fits into any of the schemes spelt out in the B.P.Ms. or whether his case is comparable to that of the fourth respondent, needs to be examined. The representation made by the petitioner has been forwarded by the second respondent to the first respondent through letter dated 26.06.2000. More than five years have elapsed. The matter cannot be permitted to remain in uncertainty for such a long time.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to pass appropriate orders on the representation made by the petitioner and forwarded to him by the second respondent, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It shall be open to the petitioner to enclose a copy of his representation as well as supporting documents along with the copy of the order of this Court and submit the same to the first respondent.

_____

16.11.2005

kdl

-

-